

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE PHONEMIC PATTERNS OF THE SLOVENIAN, SERBO-CROATIAN (CROATO-SERBIAN), AND MACEDONIAN DIALECTS EMBRACED BY THE GENERAL SLAVIC LINGUISTIC ATLAS

S U M M A R Y

This book is a result of the joint efforts of Yugoslav dialectologists working under the auspices of the Yugoslav Inter-Academy Committee for Dialect Atlases (Meduakademijski odbor za dijalektološke atlaste). The aim of the book is manifold: to bring to the dialectology of Slovenian, Serbo-Croatian (Croato-Serbian) and Macedonian systematic data on the phonemic patterns of a considerable number of popular dialects, to give to the history of these languages information on sound changes in various regions, and to provide suitable material for the comparative and typological study of sound patterns in the languages of the world. Circumstances have caused this book to contain more phonemic descriptions of Slovenian, Serbo-Croatian (Croato-Serbian) and Macedonian dialects than all scholarly literature to date. At the same time, it includes more detailed descriptions of the phonemic patterns in the dialects of a single linguistic area than any other publication in the world, as far as the authors are aware.

Work on this book proceeded from the activity surrounding the General Slavic Linguistic Atlas (the OLA), in which specialists from all Slavic and some non-Slavic countries have been participating since 1959. Using a questionnaire common to all Slavic languages (published in Moscow in 1965), the field workers of the OLA have investigated about 850 local dialects including 25 Slovenian, 77 Serbo-Croatian and 24 Macedonian dialects. A number of those dialects are spoken in the neighbouring non-Slavic countries (Italy, Austria, Hungary, Romania, Greece and Albania). The collecting of material according to this questionnaire from numerous local dialects made it possible to compile mutually comparable descriptions of their phonemic patterns. The present book embraces such descriptions of the abovementioned local dialects, based mainly on the material collected for the OLA. That material is extensive (an average of 3000 questions from each locality) but in some rare cases pertinent examples were nevertheless lacking, because it was composed for the needs of the Slavic linguistic region as a whole and not in order to study the specific problems arising in the abovementioned three languages.

The extent of the individual descriptions was determined on the one hand by the attempt to cover exhaustively all that is most significant from both the synchronic and the diachronic stand-points, and on the other hand by the need to avoid presenting too much detail. The principle was therefore adopted that phonemic phenomena limited to one or only a few lexemes should not be mentioned unless there was a particular reason for doing so.

The authors of the descriptions aspired to strict application of the structural method of phonemic analysis, but at the same time avoided methods and formulations whose validity would depend on the adoption of one particular school or trend in phonemic analysis. The aim of the book is not to demonstrate the primacy of such an approach, but to present the facts in such a way as to make the work more widely usable. Wherever the phonemic analysis permitted alternatives, the solution closest to the phonetic reality, was selected. Besides this, of the alternative solutions it was always the same one which was chosen, in order not to create the impression of non-existent differences between dialects.

In order to reduce the number of differences between the descriptions in respect of the method of working or the presentation of material, and in order to achieve as even a quality of the description as possible the first draft of each description was analysed and discussed at editorial meetings, or offered for comment to the editor-in-chief who subsequently entered into an agreement with the author on the final text. In this way, the authors and editors worked jointly to produce a book with the features of teamwork but whose texts have retained the personal stamp of each author's style, since similarity between the descriptions was not insisted upon at any price.

At the beginning of the book the descriptions of Slovenian dialects are presented followed by descriptions of the Serbo-Croatian and Macedonian dialects, in accordance with the numbering of the dialects investigated in the OLA. Within each of the languages, the order of descriptions also follows the same pattern with the single exception of Serbo-Croatian, where the material is first grouped according to its dialect groups (Čakavian, Kajkavian and Štokavian). Some of the Slovenian dialects spoken outside Yugoslavia, as well as almost all the Serbo-Croatian dialects existing over the frontier, are numbered separately in the atlas (numbers 146–149, 146a–148a, 150–153, 158 and 169); these dialects, naturally in their own groups, were placed at the end.

To achieve greater clarity and ease of comparison, all the descriptions are composed on the same principle: 1. Phonemic inventory, 2. Distribution, 3. Historical origins of particular phonemes. Within each of these chapters there are the headings: 1. Vocalism, 2. Consonantism and 3. Prosody. Thus for example, number 1.1. contains an inventory of vocalic phonemes (including the syllabemes /r/ and /l/ where they exist), number 2.2. describes the distribution of consonants, and number 3.3. is an explication of the historical origins of „prosodemes”, the distinctive types in the prosodic system. In chapter 3, the historical retrospect, another heading 3.4. is introduced, containing cases of the disappearance of sounds and 3.5. in which cases of metathesis are recorded.

A radical deviation from the basic pattern of the descriptions is made by the group of descriptions which, instead of a systematic survey of the features of a given dialect, contains only a list of the differences between it and another dialect which has been described. It was only after lengthy discussions between the authors that it was decided to accept such a procedure, and this was primarily due to the need to keep the scale of the book within suitable limits and to reduce the amount of repetition. This method was used only in the case of dialects very close in their features.

In the historical sections of the descriptions (chapter 3 in each description) the present condition of the dialect is not derived directly from the Proto-Slavic pattern, but from a reconstructed older phase of the given language (Slovenian, Serbo-Croatian, or Macedonian). The descriptions of these „starting patterns”, which precede the description of the present-day dialects of each language, are introduced in order to avoid repeated mentioning of processes common to all dialects of the given language. The „starting pattern” is located in an undetermined period which need not be chronologically the same for all sub-patterns of the phonemic pattern but which represents the last phase of joint development of all dialects of the same language. Thus, the sound changes common to all dialects of each of the three languages involved are enumerated for the first time in scholarly literature, or at least more broadly than ever before, in the descriptions of the „starting patterns” in the present book.

In the historical sections of the „starting patterns” (again chapter 3) these patterns are derived from the final phase of common Proto-Slavic development (again with the proviso that the individual elements in the pattern did not always have to coexist chronologically).

In all the texts in this book the same system of sound transcription is used — basically the one adopted by the OLA, with slight additions for specific phenomena in the languages in question. The system of transcription is presented and discussed in a text by Dalibor Brozović: *Sistem fonetske transkripcije* (The System of the Transcription of Sounds). Apart from this text, the first part of the book also contains an Introduction (*Uvod*) by Pavle Ivić, a list of the local dialects, described (*Spisak ispitanih govora*), and a list of the field workers who have investigated those dialects (*Podaci o ispitivačima*).

SADRŽAJ

	Strana
Uvod — Pavle Ivić	1
Spisak ispitanih govora	11
Podaci o ispitivačima	15
O fonetskoj transkripciji — Dalibor Brozović	17
FONOLOŠKI OPISI SLOVENSКИH GOVOROV	27-218
Izhodišni splošnoslovenski fonološki sistem — Tine Logar	29
1. Solbica (Stolvizza) — Tine Logar	35
2. Ošne pri Sv. Lenartu (Osgnetto) — Tine Logar	41
3. Sv. Križ (S. Croce) — Tine Logar	47
4. Šmartno v Brdih — Tine Logar	53
5. Komen — Martina Orožen	59
6. Cerknjo — Jakob Rigler	67
7. Srednja vas v Bohinju — Tine Logar	73
8. Horjul — Tine Logar	79
9. Valburga pri Smledniku — Francka Benedik	87
10. Luče — Jakob Rigler	93
11. Pomjan — Martina Orožen	103
12. Hrušica — Jakob Rigler	111
13. Babno polje — Albinca Lipovec in Francka Benedik	119
14. Ribnica — Jakob Rigler	125
15. Dragatuš — Tine Logar	133
16. Bučka — France Novak	139
17. Mostec — Jože Toporišič	147
18. Šmarje pri Jelšah — Martina Orožen	157
19. Spodnja Ložnica — Jakob Rigler	165
20. Videm ob Ščavnici — Jakob Rigler	173
21. Gomilice — Francka Benedik	179
146. Potoče (Potschach) — Tine Logar	183
147. Breznica pri Št. Jakobu v Rožu (Friessnitz) — Jakob Rigler	193
148. Kneža (Grafenbach) — Tine Logar	201
149. Gornji Senik (Felsőszőlök) — Tine Logar	213

FONOLOŠKI OPISI SRPSKOHRVATSKIH/HRVATSKOSRPSKIH GOVORA 219—624

Ishodišni srpskohrvatski/hrvatskosrpski fonološki sistem — Dalibor Brozović i Pavle Ivić 221

Čakavsko narječje

227—294

22. Žminj — Petar Šimunović	229
23. Cres — Antun Šojat	235
24. Dobrinj — Dalibor Brozović	241
25. Rukavac — Vida Barac-Grum	247
34. Brinje — Božidar Finka	251
37. Sali — Božidar Finka	252
42. Komiža — Petar Šimunović	259
43. Trogir — Petar Šimunović	267
44. Vrbanj — Petar Šimunović	272
56. Lastovo — Dalibor Brozović i Josip Lisac	275
146a. Stinjaki (Stinatz) — Gerhard Neweklowsky	281
147a. Čemba (Schandorf) — Gerhard Neweklowsky	287
148a. Pajngrt (Baumgarten) — Gerhard Neweklowsky	289

Kajkavsko narječje

295—358

26. Močila — Vida Barac-Grum	297
27. Domagović — Vesna Zečević	301
28. Domaslovec — Antun Šojat	307
29. Začretje — Dalibor Brozović i Josip Lisac	315
30. Cubinec — Antun Šojat	325
31. Prelog — Antun Šojat	331
32. Virje — Antun Šojat	337
35. Trebarjevo Desno — Antun Šojat	343
153. Homok (Fertőhomok) — Dalibor Brozović i Josip Lisac	349

Štokavsko narječje

359—624

33. Trnovac — Mijo Lončarić	361
36. Mala Peratovica — Dalibor Brozović i Petar Šimunović	367
38. Lohovo — Dragomir Vujičić	373
39. Magića Mala — Dalibor Brozović i Josip Lisac	375
40. Strizivojna — Antun Šojat	383
41. Valpovo — Božidar Finka i Antun Šojat	387
44a. Kruč (Acquaviva Collecroce) — Dalibor Brozović	393
45. Otok — Dalibor Brozović i Ivka Brkić	399
46. Guber — Dalibor Brozović i Dragomir Vujičić	405
47. Drvetine — Dragomir Vujičić	411
48. Dobretići — Snježana Čerić	417
49. Vijaka — Slobodan Remetić	423
50. Špionica — Dragomir Vujičić	431
51. Tramošnica — Dragomir Vujičić	437
52. Gradište — Božidar Finka i Antun Šojat	443

	Strana
53. Sivac — Pavle Ivić	449
54. Jazak — Pavle Ivić	450
55. Bašaid — Pavle Ivić	451
57. Grude — Dragomir Vujičić	459
58. Lug — Dragomir Vujičić	464
59. Kreševo — Nevenka Gošić	467
60. Milići — Dragomir Vujičić	473
61. Žepa — Dragomir Vujičić	475
62. Osladić — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	477
63. Nemenikuće — Slobodan Remetić	485
64. Uljma — Pavle Ivić	491
65. Cavtat — Dalibor Brozović	497
66. Jasenik — Dragomir Vujičić	507
67. Kriva Rijeka — Slobodan Remetić	513
68. Pakovrač — Slobodan Remetić	519
69. Glibovac — Slobodan Remetić	520
70. Kula — Slobodan Remetić	521
71. Radovići — Dragoljub Petrović	523
72. Reževići — Dragoljub Petrović	528
73. Gorana — Dragoljub Petrović i Drago Ćupić	529
74. Njeguši — Pavle Ivić i Dragoljub Petrović	537
75. Golubovci — Pavle Ivić i Dragoljub Petrović	543
76. Trebaljevo — Dragoljub Petrović	551
77. Anđželat — Dragoljub Petrović	552
78. Trijebine — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	559
79. Tučep — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	566
80. Srbovac — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	568
81. Drenča — Slobodan Remetić	570
82. Velika Kruševica — Pavle Ivić	571
83. Bukovac — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	573
84. Orahovac — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	579
85. Pljačkovica — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	587
86. Donji Dušnik — Slobodan Remetić	589
87. Kalna — Pavle Ivić i Slobodan Remetić	590
88. Jasenica — Slobodan Remetić	595
150. Pogan (Pogány) — Dalibor Brozović	597
151. Dušnok (Dusnok) — Dalibor Brozović	603
152. Lovra (Lórv) — Pavle Ivić	611
168. Klokotič (Clocotici) — Pavle Ivić	612
169. Svinica (Svinița) — Pavle Ivić	619

ФОНОЛОШКИ ОПИСИ НА МАКЕДОНСКИТЕ ГОВОРИ

625-822

Појдовен македонски фонолошки систем — Божидар Видоески	627
90. Лазарополе — Божидар Видоески	631
92. Вругок — Божидар Видоески	639
93. Теарце — Божидар Видоески	647
94. Ракотинци — Божидар Видоески	655
95. Љубанце — Божидар Видоески	661

96. Радожда — Божидар Видоески	667
97. Звечан — Божидар Видоески	679
98. Шлегово — Божидар Видоески	687
99. Пештани — Божидар Видоески	695
100. Извор — Божидар Видоески	705
101. Дихово — Божидар Видоески	711
102. Витолиште — Божидар Видоески	723
103. Радовиш — Божидар Видоески	731
104. Герово — Божидар Видоески	739
105. Фурка — Божидар Видоески и Коста Пеев	745
106. Бобошчица (Boboshtica) — Божидар Видоески	753
107. Вамбел (<i>Μοσχοχωριον</i>) — Божидар Видоески	763
108. Нестрам (<i>Νεστοριον</i>) — Божидар Видоески	769
109. Тиолишта (<i>Τοιχιον</i>) — Божидар Видоески	775
110. Тремно (<i>Καρδια</i>) — Божидар Видоески	783
111. Кронцелово (<i>Κρασσαи</i>) — Божидар Видоески и Коста Пеев	791
112. Висока (<i>Οσσα</i>) — Божидар Видоески	801
113. Секанец (<i>Αιβαδοχωριον</i>) — Божидар Видоески	811
113а. Плевна (<i>Πετρουσσα</i>) — Божидар Видоески	817
SUMMARY — Pavle Ivić	823
SADRŽAJ	825



**SOUR GRAFIS „MINERVA” Subotica, sa n. sol. o.
OOUR štamparska delatnost
Za štampariju: graf. inž. Lazar Andrašić
Tiraž: 2000 primjeraka**

